

Hornsea Project Four

Statement of Common Ground between Hornsea Project Four and Natural England: Onshore Matters

Deadline: 7, Date: 10 August 2022 Document Reference: F3.5 Revision: 02

PreparedOrsted, 2020-2021CheckedOrsted, August 2022AcceptedThomas Watts, Orsted, August 2022ApprovedJulian Carolan, Orsted, August 2022

Doc Ref No. F3.5 Version D





Revision History

Date	Version	Reason for issue	
25/01/2021	А	Initial draft for Natural England Review	
15/03/2021	В	Second draft for Natural England Review	
22/09/2021	с	Draft version accompanying DCO application	
10/08/2022	02	Final signed version submitted at Deadline 7.	

Signatories

Signed	
Name	Emma Brown
Position	Marine Senior Advisor
For	Natural England

Signed	
Name	Dr Julian Carolan
Position	Consent Project Manager
For	Orsted Hornsea Project Four Limited





Table of Contents

1	Introd	uction	5
	1.1	Reason for this document	5
	1.2	Approach to SoCG	6
	1.3	Application elements under Natural England's remit	6
	1.4	Overview of Hornsea Four	6
2	Consu	ltation	7
	2.1	Summary of consultation with Natural England	7
3	Agree	ment Logs	13
	3.1	Overview	13
	3.2	Ecology and Nature Conservation	16
	3.3	Air Quality	21
	3.4	Land Use and Agriculture	22
	3.5	Hydrology / geomorphology	25
	3.6	Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment	25
4	Summ	ary	27



Glossary

Term	Definition	
Development Consent	An order made under the Planning Act 2008 granting development consent	
Order (DCO)	for one or more Nationally Significant Infrastructure Projects (NSIP).	
Hornsea Project Four	The term covers all elements of the project (i.e. both the offshore and	
Offshore Wind Farm	onshore). Hornsea Four infrastructure will include offshore generating	
	stations (wind turbines), electrical export cables to landfall, and connection	
	to the electricity transmission network. Hereafter referred to as Hornsea	
	Four.	
Orsted Hornsea Project Four	The Applicant for the proposed Hornsea Project Four Offshore Wind Farm	
Ltd	Development Consent Order (DCO).	

Acronyms

Acronym	Definition	
CEA	Cumulative Effects Assessment	
CoCP	Code of Construction Practice	
DMLs	Deemed Marine Licences	
DCO	Development Consent Order	
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment	
EMP	Ecological Management Plan	
ES	Environmental Statement	
HVAC	High Voltage Alternating Current	
HVDC	High Voltage Direct Current	
LSE	Likely Significant Effect	
MHWS	Mean High Water Springs	
MLWS	Mean Low Water Springs	
PEIR	Preliminary Environmental Information Report	
PINS	The Planning Inspectorate	
RPSS	Route Planning Site Selection	
SoCG	Statement of Common Ground	
SSSI	Site of Special Scientific Interest	



1 Introduction

1.1 Reason for this document

- 1.1.1.1 This Statement of Common Ground (SoCG) has been prepared between Orsted Hornsea Project Four Limited ('the Applicant') and Natural England to set out the areas of agreement and disagreement between the two parties in relation to the proposed Development Consent Order (DCO) application for the Hornsea Project Four offshore wind farm (hereafter referred to as 'Hornsea Four').
- 1.1.1.2 This SoCG covers onshore matters which includes the topics of:
 - Onshore Ecology and Nature Conservation (Section 3.2);
 - Air Quality (Section 3.3);
 - Land use and Agriculture (Section 3.4);
 - Hydrology / Geomorphology (Section 0); and
 - Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment (Section 3.6).
- 1.1.1.3 This SoCG covers onshore matters only, which for the purposes of this document, are defined as matters above Mean High Water Springs (MHWS).
- 1.1.1.4 Separate SoCG's have been prepared with Natural England on further relevant topics as set out in Table 1.

Table 1: Summary of all SoCG's sought with Natural England.

SoCG's sought with Natural England	Document
	Reference
SoCG between Hornsea Project Four and Natural England: Offshore Ornithology	G1.9
SoCG between Hornsea Project Four and Natural England: Other Offshore Matters	G1.10
SoCG between Hornsea Project Four and Natural England: Derogation and Compensation	F3.4

- 1.1.1.5 The need for a SoCG between the Applicant and Natural England is set out within the Rule 6 letter issued by the Planning Inspectorate (PINS) post-application of the Hornsea Four DCO.
- 1.1.1.6 Following detailed discussions undertaken through the Evidence Plan Process, the Applicant and Natural England have sought to progress a SoCG. It is the intention that this document will provide the PINS with a clear overview of the level of common ground between both parties. This document will facilitate further discussions between the Applicant and Natural England and the SoCG will be updated as discussions progress during the Hornsea Four DCO examination.





1.2 Approach to SoCG

- 1.2.1.1 The Applicant took the decision at an early stage to adopt a proportionate approach to Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) for Hornsea Four which is detailed and integrated throughout the DCO application. The Impacts Register (see Volume A4: Annex 5.1) is a key tool that details all potential impacts identified for Hornsea Four and sets the scope of the EIA at various stages of the project (Scoping, PEIR and DCO). In line with the Applicants approach to proportionality, only Likely Significant Effects (LSE) are included within the individual topic assessments within the relevant chapters of the Environmental Statement (ES). This SoCG seeks to set out the agreements reached with Natural England on the proportionate approach to EIA in addition to other matters such as (but not limited to) the adequacy of baseline data collection, the assessment methodology and conclusions reached (Section 3.7).
- 1.2.1.2 The structure of this SoCG is as follows:
 - Section 1: Introduction;
 - Section 2: Consultation;
 - Section 3: Agreement Logs; and
 - Section 4: Summary.

1.3 Application elements under Natural England's remit

1.3.1.1 The elements of Hornsea Four which may affect the interests of Natural England are Work Numbers 1 to 10, covering both onshore and offshore works. These are detailed in Part 1 (Authorised Development) of Schedule 1 (Authorised Project) of the draft DCO (Volume C1.1: Draft DCO).

1.4 Overview of Hornsea Four

- 1.4.1.1 Hornsea Four is an offshore wind farm which will be located approximately 69 km offshore the East Riding of Yorkshire in the Southern North Sea and will be the fourth project to be developed in the former Hornsea Zone. Hornsea Four will include both offshore and onshore infrastructure and consists of:
 - Hornsea Four array area: This is where the offshore wind generating station will be located which will include the turbines, array cables, offshore accommodation platforms and a range of offshore substations as well as offshore interconnector cables and export cables;
 - Hornsea Four offshore export cable corridor: This is where the permanent offshore electrical infrastructure (offshore export cables, as well as the HVAC booster station (if required), will be located;
 - Hornsea Four intertidal area: This is the area between Mean High Water Springs (MHWS) and Mean Low Water Springs (MLWS) through which all of the offshore export cables will be installed;





- Hornsea Four onshore export cable corridor: This is where the permanent onshore electrical cable infrastructure will be located; and
- Hornsea Four onshore substation including energy balancing infrastructure: This is where the permanent onshore electrical substation infrastructure (onshore HVDC converter/HVAC substation, energy balancing infrastructure and connections to the National Grid) will be located.

2 Consultation

2.1 Summary of consultation with Natural England

2.1.1.1 **Table 2** below summarises the consultation that the Applicant has undertaken with Natural England relevant to Onshore Matters during the pre-application phase.

Date	Form of consultation	Statutory/Non Statutory	Summary
07/08/2018	Steering Group Meeting No.1	Non-statutory	Introduction to the proposed project and project teams and summary, reflections, agreement and sign off on the Terms of Reference.
12/09/2018	Technical Panel Meeting No.1	Non-statutory	Initial meeting to discuss the Hornsea Four approach to the scoping report, the scope of the proposed onshore ecological surveys, scope of the EIA including assessment methodology, and preliminary discussion of key issues or areas of concern.
23/11/2018	Consultation Response to Scoping Opinion	Statutory	Provision of comments from Natural England in response to submission of Hornsea Four Scoping Report.
12/12/2018	Steering Group Meeting No.2	Non-statutory	Update on the project development activities. Review of the Scoping Opinion responses and discussion on the next steps in relation to seeking agreement with key stakeholders on the data to be included in the PEIR and ES.
08/01/2019	Technical Panel Meeting No.2	Non-statutory	The Applicant provided an update to Natural England on Hornsea Four since the initial meeting, in September 2019 and provided an overview of the methodology and preliminary results for onshore ecological surveys currently being undertaken, and to discuss the responses received during the Scoping process. A discussion on the next steps in relation to seeking agreement with Natural England on the data and information to be included in both the Preliminary

Table 2: Summary of pre-application consultation with Natural England.

Orsted

Date	Form of consultation	Statutory/Non Statutory	Summary
		Statutory	Environmental Information Report (PEIR) and the Environmental Statement (ES).
27/02/2019	Onshore ecology position paper	Non-statutory	The Applicant prepared a position paper to Natural England summarising the over-wintering bird survey effort and key findings from the surveys undertaken to date, as well as outlining the proposed scope and methodology for the breeding bird survey effort.
08/04/2019	Onshore ecology position paper	Non-statutory	The Applicant issued the onshore ecology position paper to present the findings from all of the onshore ecology baseline surveys that had been undertaken and outlining the proposed scope and methodologies for the Phase 2 species-specific surveys. This formed the basis of the agenda for the onshore technical panel meeting held on the 8 th April 2019.
08/04/2019	Technical Panel Meeting No.3	Non-statutory	 The Applicant provided an update on Hornsea Four activities and to review the actions from the previous technical panel meeting. The proportionate approach being taken for the PEIR and ES was also presented to Natural England. The Applicant presented the evidence base that will be presented at PEIR for those effects that had been scoped out. The Applicant also discussed the next steps in relation to seeking consensus with Natural England on the proposed approach to the PEIR, including what additional evidence or information was to be provided at the next technical panel meeting.
29/04/2019	Email	Non-statutory	Provision of the Landscape and Visual Assessment (LVIA) Position Paper issued to Natural England which presented the Applicant's approach to the onshore LVIA.
15/05/2019	Email	Non-statutory	Provision of responses to Natural England comments on the Phase 2 onshore ecology survey position paper and following the technical panel meeting held on the 8 th April 2019.



Date	Form of consultation	Statutory/Non Statutory	Summary
25/06/2019	Steering Group Meeting No.3	Non-statutory	Update on project information, local information events, onshore and offshore Technical Panels and non-Evidence Plan consultation.
09/07/2019	Technical Panel Meeting No.4	Non-statutory	This meeting provided an update to Natura England on the Hornsea Project Four activities and reviewed actions from the previous technica panel meeting.
			The Applicant also presented the evidence base to be provided to scope out effects where complete consensus has not been achieved with Natural England.
			The next steps in relation to seeking consensus with Natural England on the proposed approach to the PEIR and what additional evidence or information is to be provided at PEIR, specifically in relation to: Evidence base / Baseline data Assessment methodology; Mitigation / Enhancement; and Proportionality.
			The Applicant also explained 'How to read this PEIR.'
10/07/2019	Email	Non-statutory	The Applicant provided responses to Natura England's comments (which related to scope and methodology of onshore ecology surveys crossing of the River Hull Headwaters and the proposed approach and programme for PEIR following the technical panel meeting held on the 8 th June 2019.
23/09/2019	S42 Consultation Response	Statutory	Provision of comments from Natural England as part of the PEIR S42 consultation process or onshore ecology and land use and agricultura matters.
06/11/2019	Steering Group Meeting No.4	Non-statutory	Update on project information and overview of the programme to DCO application. Update to Terms of Reference to reflect Historic Englance joining Steering Group. Updates to the Impacts Register and Commitments Register. Discussion on the Draft DCO and DMLs.
07/11/2019	Onshore ecology position paper via email	Non-statutory	The Applicant issued the onshore ecology position paper which presented the results from all of the onshore ecology field surveys that had been undertaken and in turn the basis of the



Date	Form of consultation	Statutory/Non Statutory	Summary
			ecological impact assessment that would be presented in the Environmental Statement chapter.
13/11/2019	Technical Panel Meeting No.5	Non-statutory	Natural England was provided with an update or Hornsea Project Four with regards to the evolution of the design of the project since the PEIR was submitted, and to review any outstanding actions from the last onshore ecology technical panel meeting.
			The Applicant summarised the key Section 42 responses received from Natural England in relation to onshore Ecology, and Hornsea Four's position in response.
			The Applicant sought consensus with Natural England on the proposed approach to the ES and agreement on the additional evidence or information which might need to be provided to accompany or inform the ES.
			A draft Impacts & Effects Register was presented to Natural England, where the Applicant explained its purpose, i.e. delivering proportionality. The draft Impacts & Effects Register presented at the meeting was discussed and agreement with Natural England.
09/02/2020	Onshore ecology position paper	Non-statutory	The Applicant issued a position paper to Natural England outlining the project's position on proposed mitigation measures for protected species and designated sites.
16/03/2020	Steering Group Meeting No.5	Non-statutory	Review of draft ES documents by the relevant Technical Panels. Overview of planned seabed investigations. Project updates and updates to the Impacts Register, Commitments Register, Draft DCO and DMLs.
01/04/2020	Technical Panel Meeting No.6	Non-statutory	The Applicant presented and sought agreement on the survey scope, survey methodology, survey results, proposed mitigation measures and further survey requirements for all onshore baseline ecological surveys that had been undertaken to date.

Orsted

Date	Form of consultation	Statutory/Non Statutory	Summary
			The Applicant also sought agreement from Natural England on the licensing requirements for great crested newts, birds, bats, badgers, water voles and otters.
			Natural England was asked to confirm Letter of No Impediment (LONI) process and timescales.
01/06/2020	Onshore ecology position paper	Non-statutory	The Applicant prepared and issued to Natural England a position paper outlining the proposed methodologies and mitigation measures for crossing of designated sites.
09/06/2020	Email and draft survey reports	Non-statutory	The Applicant issued to Natural England all of the draft onshore ecology surveys reports for review and comment prior to the technical panel meeting held on the 1 st July 2020.
16/06/2020	Onshore ecology position paper	Non-statutory	The Applicant issued a position paper to Natural England outlining the proposed mitigation measures for crossing designated sites/sensitive habitats, findings from the air quality assessment regarding acid and nitrogen deposition, responses to Natural England comments on baseline survey reports and obtain comments from Natural England on the drafted onshore ecology Environmental Statement chapter and outline Ecological Management Plan.
01/07/2020	Technical Panel Meeting No.8	Non-statutory	 The Applicant sought agreement from Natural England on the following: The mitigation measures for crossing designated sites or sensitive habitats; and The mitigation measures (if required) for managing impacts to habitats. The Applicant presented the initial findings from the Air Quality modelling assessment with regards to dust and/or nitrogen deposition. Natural England's comments provided on the onshore ecology baseline surveys were presented and the Applicant provided their responses. The Applicant presented and sought agreement from Natural England on the mitigation measures relating to bats at the Onshore Substation (OnSS) and great crested newts.



Date	Form of consultation	Statutory/Non Statutory	Summary
			An update was provided to Natural England on the onshore ecology commitments and how these link to the onshore ecology DCO documentation. An updated Impacts & Effects Register was presented to Natural England for their agreement to be obtained on the presented information.
			The Applicant provided an update to Natural England on the onshore crossing schedule and presented an example as this document will be submitted as part of the DCO application.
16/07/2020	Consultation response memo	Non-statutory	The Applicant provide a consultation response memo, providing further information and clarification regarding potential impacts resulting from Hornsea Four on agricultural land. The memo was in response to Natural England's response (22 May 2020) to Hornsea Four's response (20 April 2020) to natural England's Section 42 comments on Land Use and Agriculture.
24/07/2020	Draft water vole and great crested newt licence documentation	Non-statutory	The Applicant submitted to Natural England the draft water vole and great crested newt licence documentation to obtain a Letter of No Impediment (LONI).
14/09/2020	Onshore ecology position paper	Non-statutory	The Applicant issued a position paper to Natural England presenting the evidence in response to Natural England comments received in May and July 2020 to demonstrate how the impacts and mitigation measures in relation to species (breeding birds, bats, barn owls) and designated sites would be managed. This position paper also included information relating to the potential effects associated with potential lateral movement of the River Hull Headwaters SSSI and the mitigation measures specifically at the OnSS for onshore ecological receptors.
16/09/2020	Technical Panel Meeting No.9	Non-statutory	The Applicant presented the responses to Natural England's comments on the potential impacts associated with potential lateral movement and restoration plans associated with

Orsted

Date	Form of consultation	Statutory/Non Statutory	Summary
			the River Hull Headwaters Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI).
			The Applicant sought agreement and/or comments on the onshore ecology and nature conservation ES chapter and Outline Ecological Management Plan (oEMP) that had been issued to Natural England prior to the meeting.
			An update on Hornsea Project Four's commitments was presented to Natural England along with a copy of the latest Impacts & Effects Register. Both documents were issued to Natural England prior to the meeting.
17/12/2020	Onshore ecology position paper	Non-statutory	The Applicant issued a position paper to Natural England presenting a response to comments received on the Hornsea Four Ecology and Nature Conservation Response to Natural England's Advice Note Position Pater (8 th October 2020). The position paper provided further information in relation to potential impacts from HDD activity near to the River Hull Headwaters Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI).
16/04/2021	Onshore ecology position paper	Non-statutory	The Applicant issued a position paper to natural England outlining the validity of baseline data, after a delay to the Hornsea Four DCO application submission. The contents of the position paper were agreed by Natural England.
10/08/2021	Onshore ecology position paper	Non-statutory	The Applicant issued a position paper to natural England setting out specific questions and clarification. Responses were received from natural England on 02/09/2021.

3 Agreement Logs

3.1 Overview

- 3.1.1.1 The following sections (Section 3.2 3.5) of this SoCG set out the level of agreement between the parties for each relevant topic (as identified in Section 1.1).
- 3.1.1.2 **Table 3** presents the list of documents (and their document references) that have informed the level of agreements presented in Section 3.2 3.5.



Orsted

Table 3: Relevant onshore ecology documents to this SoCG.

Document Title	Document Reference
Position Papers	
Hornsea Project Four Offshore Wind Farm – Evidence Plan Onshore Ecology Technical Panel Position Paper.	00262516_A
Hornsea Project Four Offshore Wind Farm – Ornithology Technical Note (November 2018 – February 2019).	01672594_A
Hornsea Project Four Offshore Wind Farm – Onshore Ecology Survey Strategy.	01695132_A
Hornsea Project Four Offshore Wind Farm – Ecology and Nature Conservation Technical Panel #3 Position Paper.	01738425_A
Hornsea Project Four Offshore Wind Farm – Ecology and Nature Conservation Technical Panel #4 Position Paper.	02998969_A
Hornsea Project Four Offshore Wind Farm – Ecology and Nature Conservation Technical Panel #5 Position Paper.	04100490_A
Hornsea Project Four Offshore Wind Farm – Onshore Ecology and Geomorphology – Protected Species and Designated Sites Mitigation Measures.	05884316_A
Hornsea Project Four Offshore Wind Farm – Onshore Ecology and Geomorphology – Protected Species and Designated Sites Mitigation Measures - Technical Panel #6 Position Paper (designated site crossings).	05884316_A
Hornsea Project Four Offshore Wind Farm – Ecology and Nature Conservation Technical Panel #7 Position Paper.	06218134_A
Hornsea Project Four Offshore Wind Farm – Ecology and Nature Conservation Response to Natural England Advice Note relating to breeding bird queries, potential effects associated with potential lateral movement of the River Hull Headwaters SSSI and the OnSS mitigation measures for onshore ecological receptors.	06489891_A
Hornsea Project Four Offshore Wind Farm – Ecology and Nature Conservation Response to Natural England Advice Note relating to the River Hull Headwaters SSSI.	06738638_A
Hornsea Project Four Offshore Wind Farm – Onshore Ecology Position paper – Data Validity and Next Steps	06953904_A
Hornsea Project Four Offshore Wind Farm – Onshore Ecology Position paper - re 2021 Survey Updates DCO Documents	07165957_A
A6.3.1 ES Volume 6 Annex 3.1 Extended Phase 1 Habitat Survey Report	05188147_A
A6.3.2 ES Volume 6 Annex 3.2 Extended Phase 1 Target Note Tables	05188221_A
A6.3.3 ES Volume 6 Annex 3.3 Onshore Ornithology Wintering and Migratory Bird Survey Report	04343225_A
A6.3.4 ES Volume 6 Annex 3.4 Breeding Bird Survey Report	03676466_A
A6.3.5 ES Volume 6 Annex 3.5 Great Crested Newt Survey Report	04356003_A
A6.3.6 ES Volume 6 Annex 3.6 Water Vole Survey Report	05090545_A
A6.3.7 ES Volume 6 Annex 3.7 Otter Survey Report (Confidential)	04816956_A
A6.3.8 ES Volume 6 Annex 3.8 Bat Static Detector Survey Report Part A	05438300_A
A6.3.9 ES Volume 6 Annex 3.9 Bat Static Detector Survey Report Part B	05438301_A
A6.3.10 ES Volume 6 Annex 3.10 Bat Activity Transect Survey Report Part A	05880430_A
A6.3.11 ES Volume 6 Annex 3.11 Bat Activity Transect Survey Report Part B	05880431_A
A6.3.12 ES Volume 6 Annex 3.12 Bat Emergence and Re-entry Survey Report Part A	04895834_A
A6.3.13 ES Volume 6 Annex 3.13 Bat Emergence and Re-entry Survey Report Part B	04895193_A



Document Title	Document
	Reference
A6.3.14 ES Volume 6 Annex 3.14 Hedgerow and Arboricultural Survey Report	06229397_A
A6.3.15 ES Volume 6 Annex 3.15 Badger Survey Report (Confidential)	05084557_A
DCO Management Plans	
F2.3 AAI Outline Ecological Management Plan	06092439_A
DCO Outline Strategies	
F2.16 ES Outline Net Gain Strategy	06336497_A
Draft protected species mitigation licences	
Hornsea Project Four Offshore Wind Farm Water Vole Mitigation Licence Method Statement	06158117_A
Hornsea Project Four Offshore Wind Farm GCN Draft Licence Application	06497746_A
Other DCO Documents	
Hornsea Project Four Offshore Wind Farm Impacts and Effects Register	00120156_D
Hornsea Project Four Offshore Wind Farm Commitments Register	03426916_A

3.1.1.3 In order to easily identify whether a matter is 'agreed', 'not agreed' or an 'ongoing point of discussion', the colour coding system set out in Table 4 below is used within the 'position' column of the following sections of this document.

Table 4: Position Status Key.

Position Status	Position Colour Coding
Agreed	Agreed
The matter is considered to be agreed between the parties	
Not Agreed – no material impact	Not Agreed – no material
The matter is not agreed between the parties, however the outcome of the approach	impact
taken by either the Applicant or Natural England is not considered to result in a material	
impact to the assessment conclusions.	
Not Agreed – material impact	Not Agreed – material
The matter is not agreed between the parties and the outcome of the approach taken by	impact
either the Applicant or Natural England is considered to result in a materially different	
impact to the assessment conclusions.	
Ongoing point of discussion	Ongoing point of
The matter is neither 'agreed' nor 'not agreed' and is a matter where further discussion is	discussion
required between the parties (e.g where documents are yet to be shared with Natural	
England).	



3.2 Ecology and Nature Conservation

Table 5: Agreement Log: Ecology and Nature Conservation.

ID	Hornsea Fours Position	Natural England's Position	Position Summary
Site Selection			
G3.5 - 4.1.1	The site selected for the Hornsea Four OnSS is appropriate given the avoidance of sensitive habitats and designated sites.	The methodology for site selection was questionable in relation to the weighting given to designated sites. However, the design and mitigation package is sufficient to avoid/mitigate impacts, therefore subject to this being adequately captured in the DCO conditions would have no material concerns.	Not Agreed – no material impact – Subject to condition being secured through the draft DCO.
G3.5 -	The route selected for the Hornsea Four onshore Export	The methodology for site selection was questionable in	Not Agreed – no
4.1.2	Cable Corridor (ECC) is appropriate and has sought to avoid designated and non-designated sites through the Route Planning and Site Selection (RPSS) process in Volume A1, Chapter 3: Site Selection and Consideration of Alternatives. Where unavoidable (e.g. River Hull Headwaters SSSI), a commitment to use HDD methodologies (Co1) has been adopted. Furthermore, the HDD entry and exit pits will be situated within agricultural fields at least 20 m away from the surface watercourse (Co18), outside of the riparian habitats immediately adjacent to the river.	relation to the weighting given to designated sites. However, the design and mitigation package is sufficient to avoid/mitigate impacts therefore subject to this being adequately captured in the DCO conditions would have no material concerns.	material impact Subject to condition being secured through the draft DCO.
G3.5 - 4.1.3	The access road to the Hornsea Four OnSS is sited at an appropriate distance (15 m) from Birkhill Wood ancient woodland to avoid direct and indirect impacts.	The mitigation is in line with NE and Forestry Commission standing advice on ancient woodland.	Agreed
EIA - Policy an			
G3.5 - 4.2.1	Section 3.3 of Volume A3, Chapter 3: Ecology and Nature Conservation has identified all relevant plans and policies and appropriate consideration has been given to them in the assessment.	As far as we are aware, the correct plans/policies have been identified.	Agreed



ID	Hornsea Fours Position	Natural England's Position	Position Summary
EIA - Data Co	llection and Characterisation of Baseline Environment		
G3.5 -	The ES adequately defines the baseline environment	The baseline has been defined adequately.	Agreed
4.3.1	relevant to Ecology and Nature Conservation in Section		
	3.6; Volume A3, Chapter 3: Ecology and Nature		
	Conservation to inform the EIA.		
G3.5 -	The evolution of the baseline identified in Section 3.7.6 of	The baseline has been defined adequately.	Agreed
4.3.2	Volume A3, Chapter 3: Ecology and Nature		
	Conservation is considered appropriate.		
EIA - Assessm	ent Methodology		
G4.5 –	The study area identified in Section 3.5 of Volume A3,	The study area is acceptable.	Agreed
4.4.1	Chapter 3: Ecology and Nature Conservation, is		
	appropriate.		
G3.5 -	The potential impacts identified in Table 3.13 and	The correct impacts have been identified.	Agreed
4.4.2	Section 3.9 of Volume A3, Chapter 3: Ecology and		
	Nature Conservation, and in the 'Ecology and Nature		
	Conservation' tab of Volume A4, Annex 5.1: Impacts		
	Register, represent a comprehensive list of the potential		
	effects on ecology.		
G3.5 -	The definitions for 'magnitude' and 'sensitivity', as	We accept the presented definitions.	Agreed
4.4.3	outlined in Section 3.94 and 3.95 respectively of Volume		
	A3, Chapter 3: Ecology and Nature Conservation, are		
	appropriate.		
G3.5 -	The maximum design scenarios outlined, where relevant,	The maximum design scenarios are appropriate.	Agreed
4.4.4	for each impact identified in Table 3.13 and Section 3.9		
	of Volume A3 Chapter 3: Ecology and Nature		
	Conservation, and in the 'Ecology and Nature		
	Conservation' tab of Volume A4, Annex 5.1: Impacts		
	Register, are appropriate based on the information in		
	Volume A1, Chapter 4: Project Description of the		
	Environmental Statement.		



ID	Hornsea Fours Position	Natural England's Position	Position Summary
G3.5 - 4.5.1	The conclusion that no LSE for onshore works was identified at Scoping (or during subsequent correspondence with Natural England) for ENC-O-15, ENC-D-16, ENC-O-12, ENC-O-13, ENC-C-7, ENC-C-10 and ENC-D-19, and not being significant in EIA terms, which resulted in these potential impacts being 'scoped out' of further assessment or 'not considered in detail in the ES',	We broadly accept the conclusions.	Agreed
G3.5 - 4.5.2	is appropriate. The conclusion that no LSE for onshore works was identified at PEIR for ENC-C-2, ENC-C-8 and ENC-D- 17,and not being significant in EIA terms, which resulted in these potential impacts being 'not considered in detail in the ES', is appropriate.	We broadly accept the conclusions.	Agreed
G3.5 - 4.5.3	The conclusion that impacts ENC-C-1, ENC-C-3, ENC-C- 4, ENC-C-5, ENC-C-6, ENC-C-9, ENC-O-11, ENC-O-14, ENC-D-18 assessed within Volume A3 Chapter 3: Ecology and Nature Conservation are not considered to be significant in EIA terms is appropriate when considered alongside the commitments in Table 3.14 and where relevant identified further mitigation measures.	We broadly accept the conclusions.	Agreed
Cumulative In	npact Assessment		
G3.5 - 4.6.1	The list of projects screened in to the CEA as provided in Table 3.21 of Volume A3, Chapter 3: Ecology and Nature Conservation, is appropriate.	As far as we are aware, the screened in projects are appropriate.	Agreed
G3.5 - 4.6.2	The conclusions of the CEA on ecology and nature conservation presented in Table 3.21 of Volume A3, Chapter 3: Ecology and Nature Conservation, are appropriate.	The conclusions are appropriate.	Agreed
G3.5 - 4.6.3	The identified inter-related effects on ecological receptors, as provided in Section 3.14 of Volume A3,	The effects on ecological receptors are appropriate.	Agreed



ID	Hornsea Fours Position	Natural England's Position	Position Summary
	Chapter 3: Ecology and Nature Conservation, are		
	considered to be appropriate.		
Report to Inf	form Appropriate Assessment - Screening		
G3.5 -	As presented in Volume A3, Chapter 3: Ecology and	The conclusions are appropriate.	Agreed
4.7.1	Nature Conservation, the conclusions drawn with		
	regards to nitrogen and acid deposition on designated		
	sites (namely Bryan Mills Field SSSI and the River Hull		
	Headwaters SSSI) are appropriate.		
Commitmen	ts, draft Development Consent Order and outline management plan	s and strategies	
G3.5 -	F2.3 Outline Ecological Management Plan (oEMP)	The oEMP and commitment for an EMP are acceptable	Agreed
4.8.1	includes all relevant mitigation measures specified in	and will mitigate for impacts.	
	Volume A3, Chapter 3: Ecology and Nature		
	Conservation and is appropriate for managing		
	construction and post construction impacts from		
	Hornsea Four on ecology and nature conservation		
	receptors landward of MHWS.		
	Volume A4, Annex 5.2: Commitments Register includes		
	a commitment (Co168) to produce an EMP in accordance		
	with the Outline EMP which is secured via Requirement		
	10 of C1.1: Draft Development Consent Order.		
G3.5 -	F2.2 Outline Code of Construction Practice (CoCP)	The outline CoCP and commitment for a CoCP are	Agreed
4.8.2	includes all relevant mitigation measures specified in	acceptable and will mitigate for impacts.	
	Volume A3, Chapter 3: Ecology and Nature		
	Conservation and is appropriate for managing		
	construction and post construction impacts from		
	Hornsea Four on ecology and nature conservation		
	receptors landward of MLWS.		
	Volume A4, Annex 5.2: Commitments Register includes		
	a commitment (Co124) to produce a CoCP in		
	accordance with the Outline CoCP which is secured via		



ID	Hornsea Fours Position	Natural England's Position	Position Summary
	Requirement 17 of C1.1: Draft Development Consent		
	Order.		
G3.5 –	Applying the mitigation hierarchy, Hornsea Four has	We broadly agree with this statement and welcome the	Agreed
4.8.3	prioritised the avoidance of biodiversity loss before	commitment to using a net gain metric as part of the	
	attempting to provide gains which contribute toward	proposal.	
	onsite, local and strategic environmental priorities.		
	Hornsea Four endeavours to leave the environment in a		
	better state than it was found. The proposals presented		
	in Volume F2, Chapter 14: Outline Enhancement		
	Strategy and Volume F2, Chapter 16: Outline Net Gain		
	Strategy, relating to environmental enhancements and		
	net gain are considered appropriate.		
Licensing requ	lirements		
G3.5 –	F2.3 Outline Ecological Management Plan (oEMP)	Natural England is content with the mitigation	Agreed
4.9.1	includes the required mitigation measures specified in	measures as specified in the Volume A3, Chapter 3:	
	Volume A3, Chapter 3: Ecology and Nature	Ecology and Nature Conservation and a LONI for water	
	Conservation for water voles and forms the basis of the	vole was issued on 18 August 2020	
	request for a LONI.		
G3.5 –	F2.3 Outline Ecological Management Plan (oEMP)	LONI has been issued. Please note the caveats detailed	Agreed
4.9.2	includes the required mitigation measures specified in	in the letter.	
	Volume A3, Chapter 3: Ecology and Nature		
	Conservation for great crested newts and forms the		
	basis of the request for a LONI.		



3.3 Air Quality

Table 6: Agreement Log: Air Quality.

ID	Hornsea Fours Position	Natural England's Position	Position Summary
G3.5 -	The methodology and scope taken for the air quality	The methodology is in line with NE	Agreed
5.1.1	assessment, as presented in Section 9.10 of Volume	guidance.	
	A3, Chapter 9: Air Quality, includes the appropriate		
	designated sites and therefore is appropriate for		
	onshore ecological receptors.		
G3.5 -	The receptors presented in Section 9.10.12 are	The selection of receptors is appropriate.	Agreed
5.1.2	appropriate.		
G3.5 -	The conclusions from the air quality assessment on	The conclusions are acceptable.	Agreed
5.1.3	onshore ecological receptors, as presented in Section		
	3.11 of Volume A3, Chapter 3: Ecology and Nature		
	Conservation are accepted as accurate and valid.		



3.4 Land Use and Agriculture

Table 7: Agreement Log: Land Use and Agriculture.

ID	Hornsea Fours Position	Natural England's Position	Position Summary
Site Selection			
G3.5 -	As presented in Table 6.13 of Volume A3, Chapter 6:	No comment. Officers from the Local	N/A
6.1.1	Land Use and Agriculture and through Co79, disturbance	Planning Authority will be better placed	
	to PRoWs will be temporary where reasonably	to provide advice.	
	practicable and PRoWs will be reinstated as soon as		
	reasonably practical. Furthermore, the Applicant has		
	prepared a PRoW Management Plan that include details		
	of temporary and permanent diversions, closures, gated		
	crossings and signage to be provided during construction.		
	It is considered that this is an acceptable approach.		
G3.5 -	As presented in Table 6.13 of Volume A3, Chapter 6:	The mitigation is acceptable.	Agreed
6.1.2	Land Use and Agriculture and through Co158, impacts on		
	the English Coast Path national route will be minimised		
	through site design considerations and phasing within		
	working constraints for the landfall construction, is		
	considered acceptable.		
G3.5 -	The site selection process as presented in Volume A1,	The methodology for site selection was	Not Agreed – no material
6.1.3	Chapter 3: Site Selection and Consideration of	questionable in relation to Best and Most	impact (subject to planning
	Alternatives, in respect to land use and agriculture is	Versatile Soils. No site survey data was	conditions)
	appropriate.	collected and the site selection relied on	
		strategic data. The proposed mitigation	
		at current is insufficient, however, we are	
		satisfied this can be dealt with as part of	
		a planning condition.	
EIA - Policy an	d Planning		
G3.5 -	Section 6.2 of Volume A3, Chapter 6: Land Use and	As far as we are aware, the correct	Agreed
6.2.1	Agriculture has identified all relevant plans and policies	plans/policies have been identified.	



	and appropriate consideration has been given to them in		
	the assessment.		
	lection and Characterisation of Baseline Environment		
G3.5 -	The ES adequately defines the baseline environment	No site survey data was collected which	Not Agreed – no material
6.3.1	relevant to Land Use and Agriculture in Section 6.5	makes baseline assessment difficult. This	impact (subject to planning
	Volume A3, Chapter 6: Land Use and Agriculture to	means the mitigation needs to be	conditions)
	inform the EIA.	sufficiently precautionary to reduce	
		impacts. The proposed mitigation at	
		current is insufficient, however, we are	
		satisfied this can be dealt with as part of	
		a planning condition.	
G3.5 -	The future baseline identified in Section 6.7.7 of Volume	This is acceptable.	Agreed
6.3.2	A3, Chapter 6: Land Use and Agriculture is considered		
	appropriate.		
EIA - Assessme	nt Methodology		
G3.5 -	The potential impacts identified in Table 6.12 and Section	This is acceptable	Agreed
6.4.1	6.11 of Volume A3, Chapter 6: Land Use and Agriculture,		
	and in the 'Land Use and Agriculture' tab of Volume A4,		
	Annex 5.1: Impacts Register, represent a comprehensive		
	list of the potential effects on land use and agriculture.		
G 3 .5 -	The definitions for 'magnitude' and 'sensitivity', as outlined	The definitions for magnitude and	Not Agreed – no material
6.4.2	in Section 6.10 of Volume A3, Chapter 6: Land Use and	sensitivity didn't fully consider the	impact
	Agriculture, are appropriate.	impacts on the workability of soil	
G3.5 -	The maximum design scenarios outlined, where relevant,	. This is acceptable.	Agreed
6.4.3	for each impact identified in Table 6.14 and Section 6.11		
	of Volume A3 Chapter 6: Land Use and Agriculture, and		
	in the 'Landscape and Visual' tab of Volume A4, Annex		
	5.1: Impacts Register, are appropriate based on the		and the second secon
	5.1: Impacts Register, are appropriate based on the information in Volume A1, Chapter 4: Project Description		



G3.5 -	The conclusion that no LSE was identified at Scoping or	PINS agreed that operational impacts to	Agreed
6.5.1	during subsequent correspondence with Natural England)	soils could be scoped out subject to	
	for LUA-O-6 and LUA-D-7, and not being significant in EIA	mitigation measures.	
	terms, which resulted in these potential impacts being		
	'scoped out' of further assessment or 'not considered in		
	detail in the ES', is appropriate.		
G3.5 -	The conclusion that no LSE was identified at PEIR for LUA-	No further comment	Agreed
6.5.2	C-2, LUA-C-3, LUA-C-4 and LUA-O-5, and not being		
	significant in EIA terms, which resulted in these potential		
	impacts being 'not considered in detail in the ES' , is		
	appropriate.		
G3.5 -	The conclusion that the impact LUA-C-1 assessed within	Without survey data, the mitigation	Not Agreed – no material
6.5.3	Volume A3 Chapter 6: Land Use and Agriculture is not	needs to be sufficiently precautionary to	impact (subject to planning
	considered to be significant in EIA terms is appropriate	reduce impacts. The proposed mitigation	conditions)
	when considered alongside the commitments in Table	at current is insufficient, however, we are	
	6.13 and where relevant identified further mitigation	satisfied this can be dealt with as part of	
	measures.	a planning condition.	
Cumulative Im	npact Assessment		
G3.5 -	The list of projects screened in to the CEA as provided in	As far as we are aware, the screened in	Agreed
6.6.1	Table 6.19 of Volume A3, Chapter 6: Land Use and	projects are appropriate.	
	Agriculture, is appropriate.		
Commitments,	, draft Development Consent Order and outline management plans		
G3.5 -	F2.2 Outline Code of Construction Practice (CoCP)	Without survey data, the mitigation	Not Agreed – no material
6.7.1	includes all relevant mitigation measures specified in	needs to be sufficiently precautionary to	impact (subject to planning
	Volume A3, Chapter 6: Land Use and Agriculture and is	reduce impacts. The proposed mitigation	conditions)
	appropriate for managing construction and post	at current is insufficient, however, we are	
	construction impacts from Hornsea Four on land use and	satisfied this can be dealt with as part of	
	agriculture.	a planning condition.	
	Volume A4, Annex 5.2: Commitments Register includes a		
	commitment (Co124) to produce a CoCP in accordance		
	with the Outline CoCP which is secured via Requirement		a de la companya de l



Hydrology / geomorphology

 Table 8: Agreement Log: Hydrology / geomorphology.

ID	Hornsea Fours Position	Natural England's Position	Position Summary
		1	
G3.5 -	As presented in Section 2.7 and Table 2.10 of Volume	The design, method, mitigation and	Agreed
7.1.1	A3, Chapter 2: Hydrology and Flood Risk, through the	monitoring are sufficient to protect the	
	commitment of Co18, it is accepted that impacts and	SSSI.	
	appropriate mitigation measures regarding the		
	geomorphology of the River Hull Headwaters SSSI will		
	be managed.		

3.5 Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment

Table 9: Agreement Log: Landscape and Visual.

ID	Statement on which agreement is sought	Natural England's Position	Position Summary
General			
G3.5:	It is agreed between Natural England and Orsted that	Natural England agree with the statement	N/A as matters covered in
8.1.1	Natural England offers no comment on the Landscape	that we offers no comment on the	offshore SoCG. Natural England
	and Visual Impact Assessment. Matters relevant to the	Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment.	offers no comments on the
	Flamborough Head Heritage Coast are to be set out	Matters relevant to the Flamborough Head	onshore LVIA.
	within the SoCG between Hornsea Four and Natural	Heritage Coast have been set out and	
	England covering other offshore matters (see Table 1).	agreed within the SoCG between Hornsea	
		Four and Natural England covering other	
		offshore matters	
G3.5:	The Applicant is aware of Natural England's current	Subsequent to the submission of the	N/A
8.1.2	proposals to consider the Yorkshire Wolds for AONB	Hornsea Four Application, Natural England	
	status. At the time of writing, no potential boundary or	began the process of assessing the	
	statement of special qualities has been published, and so	Yorkshire Wolds against the criteria for	
	no conclusions can be drawn as to the potential of the	designation as an Area of Outstanding	
	Hornsea Four Project to impact on this future designation.	Natural Beauty (AONB). The proposed	



The presence of a national designation such as an AONB indicates a high level of value placed on the landscape and may influence the effects identified in A3.4: Landscape and Visual (APP-028) by increasing the sensitivity of the landscape receptor. The magnitude of change, which is assessed as 'small' for the 'Open High Rolling Farmland', would not be altered. As such, the significance of the effects identified within A3.4: Landscape and Visual (APP-028) is unlikely to change, and no additional mitigation measures are considered likely to be required. development is located within the Yorkshire Wolds. Whilst initial assessment phase does not confer any additional planning protection, it is possible that this could become a material consideration in planning decisions during the determination period/ discharge of DCO/dML conditions





4 Summary

4.1.1.1 This SoCG has outlined the consultation that has taken place between the Applicant and Natural England during the pre-application phase. The agreement logs present the position reached at the close of examination between Hornsea Four and Natural England in relation to relevant onshore matters.